Magnetic moments of Ξ_Q'-Ξ_Q transitions in light cone QCD

arXiv:1402.5283v2 [hep-ph] 25 Feb 2014

T. M. Aliev[1] *† K. Azizi[2] ‡, M. Savcı[1] §

[1] Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey

[2] Department of Physics, Doğuş University, Acıbadem-Kadıköy, 34722 İstanbul, Turkey

Abstract

The Ξ_Q'-Ξ_Q transition magnetic moments are calculated in framework of the light cone QCD sum rules method (LCSR). The values of the transition magnetic moments obtained are compared with the predictions of the other theoretical approaches.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Hx, 13.25.Hw, 13.25.Jx

*taliev@metu.edu.tr

†Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan.

‡kazizi@dogus.edu.tr

§savci@metu.edu.tr

1 Introduction

During the last decade the heavy hadron spectroscopy has been a field of permanent and growing interest. This interest dictated by the exciting experimental results obtained in regard to this subject. At present all ground state baryons containing a single charm quark have already been observed and their masses are also measured. Many of the spin-1/2 hadrons with a single bottom quark, such as Λ_b, Σ_b, Ξ_b and Ω_b, and spin-3/2 hadrons such as Σ_b^* have also been observed [1–3]. Recently, spin-3/2 Ξ_b^* baryon has been discovered [4], and latest measurement of the life-time of Λ_b baryon has been announced [5]. On the other hand the experimental situation with two and three heavy quarks is not reach yet. Only SELEX collaboration announced the observation of the spin-1/2 Ξ_{cc} baryon [6], which is not confirmed yet by the other collaborations.

Experimental observation and investigation of the properties of doubly and triply heavy baryons constitute one of the most promising research area in particle physics. One of the main static quantities which could give valuable information about the internal structure of baryons is their magnetic moments. Magnetic moment of heavy baryons have extensively been investigated within the framework of different approaches like, naive quark model, chiral quark model, non-relativistic quark model and QCD sum rules method.

The main advantage of the QCD sum rules method compared to the other non-perturbative approaches is that, it is based on the fundamental QCD Lagrangian, and it takes into account the non-perturbative nature of the QCD vacuum. In order to solve the problems which are inherit in the traditional QCD sum rules method, the light cone version of the QCD sum rules is proposed (for more about this method, see for example [7]). In this version of the QCD sum rules, the operator product expansion is performed over twists of the operators and non-perturbative effects are all encoded into the matrix elements of the non-local operators between the vacuum and one-particle states. The light cone QCD sum rules (LCSR) has so far been applied to many problems of the hadron physics (for the very recent applications of the LCSR, see for example [8–10]).

In the present work we calculate the Ξ_Q'-Ξ_Q transition magnetic moment within the QCD sum rules method. Note that the Λ_Q-Σ_Q transition magnetic moment was studied within the same framework in [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the sum rules for the Ξ_Q'-Ξ_Q transition magnetic moment are obtained. The following section contains numerical calculations, discussion and comparison with the predictions of the other theoretical methods existing in literature.

2 Derivation of the sum rules for the Ξ_Q'-Ξ_Q transition magnetic moments in LCSR

We start this section by summarizing the useful information on $SU(3)$ classification of the heavy hadrons with single heavy quarks. These baryons belong to either $SU(3)$ antisymmetric $\bar{3}_F$ or symmetric 6_F flavor representations. It is well known that, in 6_F representation the ground state baryons must have total spin 1, while their total spin is 0 in $\bar{3}_F$ representation. Therefore, baryons in 6_F representation can have spin-1/2 or -3/2, but hadrons in $\bar{3}_F$ rep-

resentation can have only spin-1/2. In the present work we consider only spin-1/2 baryons from both representations, i.e., we consider Ξ'_Q from 6_F and Ξ_Q from $\bar{3}_F$ representations, respectively.

Following this brief information, we can proceed now to calculate the Ξ'_Q-Ξ_Q transition magnetic moment within LCSR. For this aim we consider the following correlation function:

$$\Pi = i \int d^x e^{ipx} \left\langle 0 \left| \mathrm{T}\Big\{ \eta_{\Xi_Q}(x) \bar{\eta}_{\Xi'_Q}(0) \Big\} \right| 0 \right\rangle_\gamma \ , \tag{1}$$

where γ is the external electromagnetic field; η_{Ξ_Q} and $\eta_{\Xi'_Q}$ are the interpolating currents of Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q baryons. The general forms of the interpolating currents of Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q baryons are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{\Xi_Q} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{abc} \Big\{ 2 \left(s^{aT} C q^b \right) \gamma_5 Q^c + \left(s^{aT} C Q^b \right) \gamma_5 q^c - \left(q^{aT} C Q^b \right) \gamma_5 s^c \\
&+ 2t \left(s^{aT} C \gamma_5 q^b \right) Q^c + t \left(s^{aT} C \gamma_5 Q^b \right) q^c - t \left(q^{aT} C \gamma_5 Q^b \right) s^c \Big\} \ , \\
\eta_{\Xi'_Q} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{abc} \Big\{ \left(s^{aT} C Q^b \right) \gamma_5 q^c + \left(q^{aT} C Q^b \right) \gamma_5 s^c \\
&+ t \left(s^{aT} C \gamma_5 Q^b \right) q^c + t \left(q^{aT} C \gamma_5 Q^b \right) s^c \Big\} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where a, b, c are the color indices; $q = u$ or d, and $Q = b$ or c quark; C is the charge conjugation operator; and t is the arbitrary parameter.

It should be noted here that, Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q baryons do have the same quantum numbers and in principle there can be mixing between them. This mixing can be implemented by modifying the interpolating currents for physical states as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{phys}_{\Xi_Q} &= \cos\theta_Q \, \eta_{\Xi_Q} + \sin\theta_Q \, \eta_{\Xi'_Q} \ , \\
\eta^{phys}_{\Xi'_Q} &= -\sin\theta_Q \, \eta_{\Xi_Q} + \cos\theta_Q \, \eta_{\Xi'_Q} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It is shown in [12] that the mixing angle between $\eta_{\Xi_b}(\eta_{\Xi_c})$ and $\eta_{\Xi'_b}(\eta_{\Xi'_c})$ is equal to $\theta_b = 6.4 \pm 1.8^0$ ($\theta_c = 5.5 \pm 1.8^0$), which is quite small, and hence we can safely neglect it. According to sum rules method philosophy the correlation function is calculated in terms of hadrons, and in terms of quarks and hadrons in two different kinematical regions. Equating then the obtained results to each other one can get the sum rules for the appropriate physical quantity.

We start calculating the correlation function from the hadronic side. Inserting complete set of hadrons with η_{Ξ_Q} and $\eta_{\Xi'_Q}$ state quantum numbers, and isolating the ground state contributions, we get:

$$\Pi = \frac{\left\langle 0 \left| \eta_{\Xi_Q} \right| \Xi_Q(p_1) \right\rangle}{(m^2_{\Xi_Q} - p_1^2)} \left\langle \Xi_Q(p_1) \left| \Xi'_Q(p_2) \right\rangle_\gamma \frac{\left\langle \Xi'_Q(p_2) \left| \bar{\eta}_{\Xi'_Q} \right| 0 \right\rangle}{(m^2_{\Xi'_Q} - p_2^2)} + \cdots \ , \tag{4}$$

where $p_2 = p_1 + q$, $p_1 = p$ and q is the photon momentum; and dots represent the contributions of higher states and continuum. The matrix element of the interpolating current

between one baryon and vacuum states is defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle 0\left|\eta_{\Xi_Q}\right|\Xi_Q(p)\right\rangle &= \lambda_{\Xi_Q}u_{\Xi_Q}(p)\ ,\\ \left\langle \Xi_Q'(p)\left|\bar{\eta}_{\Xi_Q'}\right|0\right\rangle &= \lambda_{\Xi_Q'}\bar{u}_{\Xi_Q'}(p)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The second matrix element in (4) is parametrized in terms of two form factors F_1 and f_2 as:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \Xi_Q(p_1)\mid\Xi_Q'(p_2)\right\rangle_\gamma &= \bar{u}(p_1)\left[f_1\gamma_\mu-\frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{m_{\Xi_Q}+m_{\Xi_Q'}}f_2\right]u(p_2)\varepsilon^\mu\ ,\\ &= \bar{u}(p_1)\left[(f_1+f_2)\gamma_\mu-f_2(p_1+p_2)_\mu\right]u(p_2)\varepsilon^\mu\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where we set $q^2=0$ for the real photon. Using Eqs. (5) and (6) in hadronic side of the correlation function, we get the following expression:

$$\Pi=\frac{\lambda_{\Xi_Q}\lambda_{\Xi_Q'}}{m_{\Xi_Q}^2-p_1^2}\frac{(\not{p}_1+m_{\Xi_Q})}{m_{\Xi_Q'}^2-p_2^2}\left\{(f_1+f_2)\gamma_\mu-f_2(p_1+p_2)_\mu\right\}(\not{p}_2+m_{\Xi_Q'})\varepsilon^\mu\ . \tag{7}$$

We see from this expression that there are many structures appearing in the phenomenological part of the correlation function in calculation of the transition magnetic moment. In the present work we chose the structure $\not{p}_1\not{\varepsilon}\not{p}_2$ in determining the transition magnetic form factor f_1+f_2. At $q^2=0$ this combination gives the transition magnetic moments in natural units, .i.e., $e\hbar/(m_{\Xi_Q}+m_{\Xi_Q'})$. The coefficient of the structure $\not{p}_1\not{\varepsilon}\not{p}_2$ gives the expression of the invariant function for the transition magnetic moment $\mu_{\Xi_Q\Xi_Q'}$ in the following form:

$$\Pi=\frac{\lambda_{\Xi_Q}\lambda_{\Xi_Q'}}{\left(m_{\Xi_Q}^2-p_1^2\right)\left(m_{\Xi_Q'}^2-p_2^2\right)}\mu_{\Xi_Q\Xi_Q'}\ . \tag{8}$$

Theoretical part of the correlation function is calculated in the following way. At the first step the correlation function is written in terms the quark operators using the Wick theorem. The correlation function contains two parts, namely, photon interacting with quarks perturbatively, and photon interacting with quarks non-perturbatively. In order to calculate the perturbative contribution it is enough replacing one of the three propagators with

$$S\to-\frac{1}{2}\int dy\,S^{free}(x-y)\gamma_\mu S^{free}(y)y_\nu\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\ , \tag{9}$$

and the remaining two quark propagators are taken as free quark propagators. In Eq. (9) the fixed point gauge (the so-called Fock-Schwinger gauge) is used, i.e., $A_\mu=(1/2)y_\nu\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, and S^{free} is the free quark operator. Free quark operator for the light and heavy quarks is given as:

$$\begin{aligned}S^{free}(x) &= \frac{i\not{x}}{2\pi^2x^4}-\frac{m_q}{4\pi^2x^2}\\ S_Q^{free}(x) &= \frac{m_Q^2}{4\pi^2}\frac{K_1(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}}-i\frac{m_Q^2\not{x}}{4\pi^2x^2}K_2(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

respectively, where K_i are the modified Bessel function of the second kind; and m_q and m_Q are the masses of the light and heavy quarks, respectively. Following the above-explained steps, the perturbative part of the correlation function can be calculated straightforwardly.

The non-perturbative contribution to the correlation function can be calculated by replacing one of the light quark propagators with

$$S^{ab}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{q}^a \Gamma_i q^b \left(\Gamma_i\right)_{\alpha\beta} \ , \tag{11}$$

where Γ_i is the full set of the Dirac matrices, i.e., $\Gamma_i = \left\{I, \gamma_5, \gamma_\mu, i\gamma_5\gamma_\mu, \sigma_{\mu\nu}/\sqrt{2}\right\}$; and the remaining two propagators are taken as full quark propagators. So, for calculation of the perturbative and non-perturbative parts of the correlation function we need the expressions of the light and heavy propagators in external field.

The light cone expansion of the propagator in external field is performed in [13] and it receives contributions from non-local four-quark $\bar{q}q\bar{q}q$, three-particle $\bar{q}Gq$, four-particle $\bar{q}GGq$, etc. operators, where G is the gluon field strength tensor. In the present work we take into account contributions coming only from non-local operators with one gluon. The contributions of four-quark and two-gluon-two-quark operators are neglected due to their small contributions. Under these approximations the heavy and light quark operators, in presence of the external field, have the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} iS_{light}(x) &= iS^{free}_{light}(x) \\ &- \frac{\langle\bar{q}q\rangle}{12}\left(1 - \frac{m_0^2 x^2}{16}\right) - ig_s \int_0^1 du \left[\frac{\not{x}}{16\pi^2 x^2} G_{\mu\nu}(ux)\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{4\pi^2 x^2} u x^\mu G_{\mu\nu}(ux)\gamma^\nu\right] \ , \\ iS_{heavy}(x) &= iS^{free}_{heavy}(x) \\ &- ig_s \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \int_0^1 du \left[\frac{\not{k} + m_Q}{2(m_Q^2 - k^2)^2} G^{\mu\nu}(ux)\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{u}{m_Q^2 - k^2} x_\mu G^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

It follows from Eqs. (11) and (12) that, in order to calculate the non-perturbative contribution to the correlation function we need to know the following matrix elements $\langle\gamma(q)\left|\bar{q}\Gamma_i q\right|0\rangle$ and $\langle\gamma(q)\left|\bar{q}\Gamma_i G_{\mu\nu} q\right|0\rangle$. These matrix elements are defined in terms of the photon distribution amplitudes (DAs), whose expressions all can be found in [14]. Using Eq. (12) and definitions of the photon DAs one can calculate the theoretical part of the correlation function. The sum rules for the magnetic moment of Ξ'_Q-Ξ_Q transition is obtained by equating the coefficients of the structure $\not{p}_1\not{\varepsilon}\not{p}_2$ from both sides of the correlation function. The final step in deriving the sum rules for the magnetic moment of the Ξ'_Q-Ξ_Q transition is performing double Borel transformation over the variables $-p_1^2 \to M_1^2$ and $-p_2^2 = (p_1+q)^2 \to M_2^2$ in order to suppress higher state and continuum contributions. Finally we get:

$$\lambda_{\Xi_Q}\lambda_{\Xi'_Q}\mu_{\Xi_Q\Xi'_Q} = e^{\left(m^2_{\Xi_Q}/M_1^2 + m^2_{\Xi'_Q}/M_2^2\right)}\Pi^{theor} \ . \tag{13}$$

The expression for Π^{theor} is rather lengthy, therefore we do not present it here.

It should be noted here that, since the masses of Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q are very close to each other, we can set $M_1^2 = M_2^2$ and $m_{\Xi_Q} = m_{\Xi'_Q}$.

It follows from Eq. (13) that in calculation of the transition magnetic moment $\mu_{\Xi_Q\Xi'_Q}$ we need to know the residues of the Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q baryons. The residues of sextet and anti-triplet heavy baryons have already been calculated in [15], and for this reason we do not present them in this work.

3 Numerical analysis

In this section we perform numerical analysis of the sum rules for the transition magnetic moment obtained in the previous section. The main input parameters of the sum rules are photon DAs, whose explicit expressions are given [14].

The remaining input parameters which we use in the numerical analysis of the sum rules are: $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\ (1\ GeV) = -(0.243)^3\ GeV^3$, $\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\ (1\ GeV) = 0.8\langle\bar{q}q\rangle\ (1\ GeV)$, $m_0^2 = (0.8\pm0.2)\ GeV^2$ [16], the magnetic susceptibility $\chi\ (1\,GeV) = -2.85\pm0.5\ GeV^{-2}$ [17]. The sum rules contain the following auxiliary parameters: Borel mass square M^2, continuum threshold s_0, and the arbitrary parameter β in the interpolating current. Obviously one expects the transition magnetic moment be independent of these parameters. For this reason in further numerical analysis we shall look for the regions of these parameters where magnetic moment is practically independent of them. The domain of M^2 is determined from the following two conditions:

(i) The ground state contribution should be larger compared to higher states and the continuum contributions

(ii) the highest terms in $1/M^2$ should constitute, say about 30% - 40% of the higher power M^2 terms.

Our numerical analysis shows that both conditions are satisfied when $10 \leq M^2 \leq 25\ GeV^2$ for the Ξ_b baryon, and $6 \leq M^2 \leq 12\ GeV^2$ for the Ξ_c baryon, respectively. The working regions of s_0 and β are also determined from the consideration that the magnetic moment should not change appreciably in the respective regions of these parameters.

The dependence of the magnetic moments for the $\Xi_b^{\prime 0}$-Ξ_b^0, $\Xi_b^{\prime -}$-Ξ_b^-, $\Xi_c^{\prime 0}$-Ξ_c^0 and $\Xi_c^{\prime -}$-Ξ_c^- transitions on $\cos\theta$, where $\beta = \tan\theta$, at fixed values of M^2 and s_0 are presented in Figs. (1)-(4), respectively. We see from these figures that the working region for β in the b-baryon case is $-0.5 \leq \cos\theta \leq -0.1$, and for the baryons containing c-quark it is $-0.4 \leq \cos\theta \leq 0.1$. We deduce from these figures the following values for the $\Xi_Q^{\prime 0}$-Ξ_Q^0 transition magnetic moments: $\mu_{\Xi_b^{\prime 0}\Xi_b^0} = (1.4 \pm 0.1)\mu_N$, $\mu_{\Xi_b^{\prime -}\Xi_b^-} = (0.21 \pm 0.01)\mu_N$, $\mu_{\Xi_c^{\prime +}\Xi_c^+} = (1.3 \pm 0.1)\mu_N$ and $\mu_{\Xi_c^{\prime 0}\Xi_c^0} = (0.18 \pm 0.02)\mu_N$, where μ_N is the nuclear magneton. In the case of b-baryons the change in the values of the magnetic moments is about 10% if s_0 varies in the domain $40 \leq s_0 \leq 45\ GeV^2$. Hence we can safely be say that the results for the magnetic moments are stable with respect to the variation of s_0 in the determined domain. In Table 1 we present our results on the transition magnetic moments together with the ones predicted by different approaches, such as effective quark mass and screened quark charge scheme (for b-hadron sector see [18], for c-hadron sector see [19]), bag model [20], non-relativistic quark model [21], and chiral constituent quark model [22].

From a comparison of our results with the predictions of the above-mentioned approaches we see that for the $\Xi_c^{\prime +} - \Xi_c^+$ transition all results are very close to each other. In the case of $\Xi_b^{\prime 0} - \Xi_b^0$ transition, except the bag model the results of all other approaches are

Transition	Our result	[18]	[18]	[19]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]
$\Xi_b^{\prime 0} - \Xi_b^0$	1.40 ± 0.10	1.392	1.354	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.917	1.41	$\cdots$
$\Xi_b^{\prime -} - \Xi_b^-$	0.21 ± 0.01	0.178	0.142	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.82	0.16	$\cdots$
$\Xi_c^{\prime +} - \Xi_c^+$	1.3 ± 0.1	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	-1.41	-1.39	1.043	1.4	1.3
$\Xi_c^{\prime 0} - \Xi_c^0$	0.18 ± 0.02	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	0.18	0.13	0.013	0.08	-0.31

Table 1: The $\Xi_Q^{\prime 0} - \Xi_Q^0$ transition magnetic moments predicted by the light cone QCD sum rules (our result), effective quark mass scheme [18, 19], screened quark charge scheme [18, 19], bag model [20], non-relativistic quark model [21], and chiral constituent quark model [22] approaches, in units of nuclear magneton μ_N. The first (second) column of [18] ([19]) corresponds the effective quark mass (screened quark charge) scheme.

in good agreement. Our prediction for the $\Xi_b^{\prime -} - \Xi_b^-$ transition is very close to the results of [18] and [21], but considerably different from that of [20]. As far as $\Xi_c^{\prime 0} - \Xi_c^0$ transition is concerned, our result confirms the prediction of [19], it is approximately 1.5 times smaller than that of [22], two times larger than that of [21] and 10 times larger than the results predicted in [20].

Finally we express our concluding remarks about the present work, in which we calculate the magnetic moments of the $\Xi_Q^{\prime 0} - \Xi_Q^0$ ($Q = b$ or c) transitions within the framework of light cone QCD sum rules. We also perform a comparison of our results with the predictions of various approaches existing in literature. We observe that, for the $\Xi_b^{\prime 0} - \Xi_b^0$ and $\Xi_c^{\prime +} - \Xi_c^+$ transitions the predictions of all approaches do practically agree with each other. Similar situation takes place for the $\Xi_b^{\prime -} - \Xi_b^-$ transition, except the bag model prediction. As it comes to $\Xi_c^{\prime 0} - \Xi_c^0$ transition, our results coincide with the ones predicted in [16], but differ substantially with the predictions of all other approaches. At present, these magnetic moments have not yet been measured in experiments, and we hope that they all can be measured in future planned experiments. We believe that the measurement of $\Xi_Q^{\prime 0} - \Xi_Q^0$ transition magnetic moments will also be very useful in determination of the mixing angle among heavy baryons.

References

[1] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 010001 (2012).

[2] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 102001 (2011); (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **85**, 092011 (2012).

[3] M. Muzik *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 122002 (2005); B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 012001 (2007).

[4] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 252002 (2012).

[5] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2013) 163.

[6] A. Ocherashvili *et al.* (SELEX Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **628**, 18 (2005); J. Engelfried *et al.* (SELEX Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. **A752**, 121 (2005).

[7] V. M. Braun, arXiv:9801222.

[8] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, M. Savci, Phys. Lett. B **723**, 145 (2013).

[9] T. M. Aliev, Y. Öktem, M. Savci, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 036002 (2013).

[10] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, M. Savci, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 096013 (2013).

[11] T. M. Aliev, A. Özpineci, M. Savci, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 096004 (2002).

[12] T. M. Aliev, A. Özpineci, V. S. Zamiralov, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 016008 (2011).

[13] I. I. Balitsky,V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 541 (1989).

[14] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, and N. Kivel, Nucl. Phys. B **649**, 263 (2003).

[15] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, A. Özpineci, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 056005 (2009).

[16] V. M. Belyaev, B. L. Ioffe, Sov. Phys. JETP **56**, 493 (1982).

[17] J. Rohrwild, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2007) 073.

[18] R. Dhir, C. S. Kim, and R. C. Verma, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 094002 (2013).

[19] S. Kumar, R. Dhir, and R.C. Verma, J. Phys. G **31**, 141 (2005).

[20] A. Bernotas, V. Simonis, arXiv:1209.2900.

[21] J. Franklin, D. B. Lichtenberg, W. Namgung, and D. Carydas, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2910 (1981).

[22] N. Sharma, H. Dahiya, P. K. Chatley, and M. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 073001 (2010).

Figure captions

Fig. 1 The dependence of the transition magnetic moment $\mu_{\Xi_b'^0\Xi_b^0}$ on $\cos\theta$ at several fixed values of the Borel mass-square M^2, and at fixed value of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 45\ GeV^2$.

Fig. 2 The same as in Fig. 1, but for the $\mu_{\Xi_b'^-\Xi_b^-}$ transition.

Fig. 3 The dependence of the transition magnetic moment $\mu_{\Xi_c'^+\Xi_c^+}$ on $\cos\theta$ at several fixed values of the Borel mass-square M^2, and at fixed value of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 10\ GeV^2$.

Fig. 4 The same as in Fig. 1, but for the $\mu_{\Xi_c'^0\Xi_c^0}$ transition.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3:



Figure 4: